



Name:	<a href="#">UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3378
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Harnessing the potential of naturally-stabilized earthen ponds for hill aquaculture: A demonstration using fish species combinations in Meghalaya</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline:**

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



## 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<b><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</b>  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b>  (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b>  (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>	<p><b>Yes. This MS tackles a very important area of research amidst the rising crisis of food security. Aquaculture offers a crucial role in proving cheap protein sources for the rural communities and is the fastest growing food industry globally.</b></p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Very sufficient.</p>	<p><b>Provided</b> As previously stated, the ponds were naturally stabilized with earthen basins and water columns; our study was conducted without altering the physical nature of the system. Provided</p> <p>Setting error</p> <p>The data have been analyzed and presented as mean <math>\pm</math> S.D.</p> <p>Showed</p>



<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Simple formatting required.	
<b>Optional</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	